

Study IDs for Final Exam

For the final exam, we will be following the same procedure as the mid-term. The final exam will have nine (9) items for identification of which you choose five (5). The list of nine, however, will be taken entirely from the following list. There will be no choices on the final exam that you have not already seen.

1. Common Market
2. Socialism with a Human Face
3. “Solidarity” (Poland)
4. Indira Gandhi (1917–1984)
5. Chief Marshal Law Administrator
6. Hafizullah Amin (1929–1979)
7. Mau Mau
8. Robert Mugabe (1924–)
9. Rivonia Trial Speech (1964)
10. Malvinas/Falkland War
11. Contras
12. Augusto Pinochet (1915–)
13. Ayatollah Khomeini (1902–1989)
14. Gamal Abdul Nasser (1918–1970)
15. West Bank
16. “Great Leap Forward”
17. Zhou Enlai (Chou En-Lai) (1898–1976)
18. Gang of Four
19. Akio Morita (1921–1999)
20. 1964 Olympic Games
21. technology exporter (Japan)
22. *Silent Spring* (1962)
23. Beatles
24. Alexander Solzhenitsyn (1918–)
25. Rosalind Franklin (1920–1958)
26. Sergei Pavlovich Korolev (1907–1966)
27. QDOS
28. Boris Yeltsin (1931–)
29. “Truth and Reconciliation”
30. Rwanda Genocide

Essay Question Choices

On the final exam, you will need to write one (1) essay question in class. The following question has five optional sub-questions. I will give you a choice of three (3) on the final exam, from which you will choose one (1) to write about.

In *The Lexus and the Olive Tree*, Thomas Friedman asserts that since the fall of the Berlin Wall (1989) “globalization has replaced the Cold War as the defining international system” (p. 7). Objections can be made to Friedman’s sweeping statement in a number of areas. For starters, the Cold War was an international political phenomenon whereas globalization seems more concerned with economics and culture. Drawing on your study of the second half of the twentieth century, turn Friedman’s statement into a hypothesis and test it in one of the following subsets:

1. international politics, where it could be argued that single-power hegemony replaced the bi-polar Cold War international system;
2. economics, where it could be argued a global regime already existed before 1989;
3. culture, where it could be argued globalization was already far advanced before 1989;
4. science and technology, where it could be argued globalization was already far advanced before 1989;
5. local politics in two of the main areas of the world (say, Africa and Latin America, or Europe and Asia), where perhaps neither the Cold War nor globalization had more than a surface impact.

In writing your answer to the question, be aware of time constraints (you will have approximately 90 minutes for this part), you will need to focus your essay on discussing a few examples being sure to incorporate the readings and lectures to illustrate grounding in the course material. You may rephrase the question if you have a better way of treating the relationship of what Friedman writes about in the 1990’s with what occurred in the preceding four decades. Remember to keep your answer well focused and provide a road map for the reader concerning what you are doing and why. Finally, there is no one right answer to any of the sub-questions. You will be graded solely on the basis of the three criteria established at the beginning of the course—that is, correspondence, coherence, and conceptual elegance.